CTC-RI – Combined Program Evaluation Committee and Data and Evaluation Committee

Minutes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date: Monday, March 27 2017 | | |  | Start/End Time: 9:00 – 10:30 am | |  | |
| **Location: RIQI (50 Holden Street, Providence) Washington Conference Room** | | |  | Call in number: 508-856-8222 Code: 4574  GoToMeeting: <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/226521989> | |  | |
| MEETING INFORMATION: | | |  | **Attendees** | | | |
| Meeting Purpose/Objective:  Data and Evaluation Committee: Planning and coordination of CTC data and performance-related activities  Program Evaluation Committee: Coordination of the CTC-RI Evaluation Process  Attachments:   * Onsite PPT: CTC Adjudication Report Update 2017 – 03.27.17 | | |  | Chairs: Peter Hollmann, Jay Buechner, Bill McQuade  Onpoint Team: Brian McGuire, Joanna Duncan, Jeff Spaulding, Janice Bourgault, David Jerguson  CTC Staff: Debra Hurwitz, Pano Yeracaris, Susanne Campbell, Jennifer Capewell | Alyssa Harrington  Brianna Goddard  Bruce Morey  Elaine Desmarais  Ira Wilson  Jim Lucht  Marie Sarrasin  Megan Cole  Omar Galarraga  Tanya Bernstein | | |
|  |
|  | | | | | | | |
| Item # | Statement / Owner | Comments | | | | | Action # |
| 1 | **P.Hollmann, J.Buechner, B.McQuade** | **Welcome and Introductions** | | | | |  |
| 2 | **D.Hurwitz** | **Purpose of Meeting**   * Onpoint was asked to present to both the Program Evaluation Committee and Data and Evaluation Committee to review the methodology and data for adjudication as a follow-up to questions and concerns at the March 6th Data and Evaluation Committee Meeting. | | | | |  |
| 3 | **Onpoint Team** | **Review of APCD Data for CTC Contract Adjudication**   * Onpoint presented the Claims Data Collection & Analyses: CTC Rates for Contract Adjudication | | | | |  |
| 4 | **Group** | **Group discussion**   * Why is comparison group so different from RTI?  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Onpoint** | **RTI** | **Consensus/Decision of Group** | | Uses HEDIS | Did not explicitly use HEDIS | Ok to use HEDIS, but need to exclude Behavioral  Health and Maternity | | 30 day grace period – not part of methodology | 30 day grace period used by RTI | Ok **not** to give 30 day grace period to provider for inpatient admissions | | Attribution includes out of state and may include OBGYN | Attribution excludes patients care out of state and excludes OB/Gyn | Exclude members who live Out-of-State or receive care out of state; exclude OB/GYN as a primary care provider | | Medicaid attributed by algorithm | Medicaid attributed by assignment on the card | OK to use attribution algorithm | | Medicaid and Medicare FFS | Medicaid and Medicare FFS excluded | Exclude from the analysis | | Comparison Group much larger; more women and younger | Comparison group – RTI had many unattributed patients; felt to be young and healthy | Onpoint to look into this – see if OBGYN could be driving the numbers; remove non-PCPs; discussed urgent care facilities - look for facility fees; all PCMHs removed from the comparison group | | Provider taxonomy | Excluded Urgent Care Providers | Use Onpoint method | | No risk adjustments | No risk adjustment | same | | ED visits if admitted | ED visits if admitted – were excluded because  of inconsistency in how paid – across payers some bundled, some not | Need to ask Onpoint about this |  * Do we need to reset targets given differences in data? * Are we comfortable adjudicating contracts in April using these data? * What is the timeframe we can expect data for practices to adjudicate contracts and what time period is being reported?   + Group agreed to first resolve how we want to handle adjudication data for this year.   + Put on CTC-RI Program Evaluation Agenda for discussion on how to use APCD data for future cohorts contract adjudication.   + Keep Difference of difference for now but consider risk adjustment in the future analyses.   + Onpoint to review comparison group to see if OB/GYN and Urgent care providers were considered as primary care providers and report back; Onpoint confirmed that they can attend next Data and Evaluation Committee meeting | | | | | 1,2,3 |
| 5 | **P.Hollmann, J.Buechner, B.McQuade** | **Next Meeting/Next Steps**   * + Next CTC-RI Data and Evaluation Committee meeting scheduled for Tuesday, April 4, 2017, 7:30-9:00am at RIQI (50 Holden Street, Providence) - Washington Conference Room; Call-in: 508.856.8222 Code: 4574 | | | | |  |

| ACTION ITEM LOG | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date Added | Action Number | Assignee | Action /Status | Due  Date | Date  Closed |
| 03/27/17 | 1 | T.Bernstein | Onpoint was to report back to CTC-RI on CTC/APCD discussion whether OB/GYN’s are excluded from the PCP attribution for the CTC adjudication numbers. Tanya confirmed that OB/GYN’s are in fact excluded. | 04/04/17 | 03/28/17 |
| 03/27/17 | 2 | Committees | Signoff on Onpoint’s current methodology “as is” or change to:  • Exclude out-of-state providers in the attribution methodology  • Exclude Urgent Care facilities/providers (currently included) | 04/04/17 |  |
| 3/27/17 | 3 | T.Bernstein | Inform CTC if there are costs associated with these changes | 04/04/17 |  |